



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/617,049	7	07/14/2000	Won Geun Jung	2950-164P	6161	
2292	7590	04/09/2003				
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH				EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				NGUYEN, MIKE		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•		2182	6	
	•			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

K.

Office Action Summary    Examiner	
## A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)   Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/29/2000.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/29/2000.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/29/2000.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/29/2000.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b> 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
••	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/617,049

Art Unit: 2182

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Notices & Remarks

1. Claims 1-26 are pending for the examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 3. Claims 1, 3-5, 9-12, 14-15, and 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yasui (U.S. Pat. No. 5,999,505).
- 4. As to claim 1, Yasui teaches a audio data receiving apparatus (see figure 3 element 12), comprising:

a converter converting a digital audio signal into record-formatted audio data (see figure 3 element 12 and column 4 lines 33-38); and

an interface transferring (see figure 3 element 10), via a bus (see figure 3 element 100),

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/617,049

Art Unit: 2182

the record-formatted audio data to a disk recording/reproducing device (see figure 3 element 8 and column 4 lines 27-32) without conducting a preparation process for transferring data when a record request is received from the disk recording/reproducing device, wherein the preparation process is specified in a bus standard protocol for a personal computer (see figure 9 and column 9 lines 53-67 and column 10 lines 1-20).

- 5. As to claim 3, Yasui teaches the apparatus set forth in claim 1, further comprising a sampler converting an analog audio signal into the digital audio signal (see column 4 lines 33-38).
- 6. As to claim 4, Yasui teaches the apparatus set forth in claim 1, wherein said interface comprises:

a serial-to-parallel converter converting the record-formatted serial data into parallel data and outputting parallel data with a data writing pulse (see figure 3 element 12 and column 9 lines 55-67 and column 10 lines 1-7 wherein an audio data input is serially inputted into the converter 12 and parallel outputted from the converter 12);

a memory controller (see figure 3 element 6 and column 4 lines 43-51) sequentially storing data in a memory whenever the data writing pulse is received (see figure 3 element 4 column 9 lines 55-67 and column 10 lines 1-7); and

a transmitter transmitting the data to the disk recording/reproducing device through the bus when the transfer-ready signal is received (see figure 3 element 6 and figure 9 and column 9 lines 55-67 and column 10 lines 1-7 wherein the DMAC 6 is used to transmit the data to the disk recording/reproducing device 8);

7. As to claim 5, Yasui teaches an audio data recording apparatus (see figure 4), comprising:

Art Unit: 2182

a connector sending/receiving signals through a bus in accordance with a bus protocol compatible with a bus protocol for use in a personal computer (see figure 4 elements 10, 100 and column 7 lines 1-12);

a recorder modulating audio data received through said connector into recording signals and recording the recording signals in a recording medium (see figure 4 elements 818, 804 and column 6 lines 55-67 and column 7 lines 1-12); and

a controller controlling the connector to transmit a transfer start signal to a counter part of the bus without sending/receiving packet commands through the bus when a record command is received (see figure 4 element 820 and column 7 lines 1-12 and figure 9 and column 9 lines 55-67 and column 10 lines 1-20).

- 8. As to claim 9, Yasui teaches a method for sending/receiving audio data through a bus (see figures 3 element 100) comprising the steps of:
- (a) entering into a data communication mode without conducting a preparation process for transferring data over a bus when a record request is received, wherein the preparation process includes occupying a bus and issuing packet commands (see column 7 lines 1-12 and figure 9 and column 9 lines 53-67 and column 10 lines 1-20);
- (b) sending/receiving audio data in the data communication mode (see figure 3 element 12 and column 4 lines 33-38); and
- (c) stopping the data communication mode when a recording stop request is received (see column 10 lines 48-61 wherein the DMAC 6 will send an interruption signal to the MPU 2 when it completes the transmission or it receives a recording stop request. In response to the interruption signal the MPU 2 instructs the recording/reproducing either stopped or continued).

Application/Control Number: 09/617,049 Page 5

Art Unit: 2182

9. As to claim 10, Yasui teaches the method set forth in claim 9, wherein in said step (a) includes transferring from a data, a receiving part to a data transferring part, via the bus, a transfer start signal without conducting the preparation process when the record request is received (see figure 3 elements 12, 100, 6 and column 7 lines 1-12 and figure 9 and column 9 lines 55-67 and column 10 lines 1-20).

- 10. As to claim 11, Yasui teaches the method set forth in claim 10, wherein said step (c) discontinues transfer of the transfer start signal (see column 10 lines 48-61).
- 11. As to claim 12, Yasui teaches the method set forth in claim 9, further comprising:
- (d) interrupting a data transfer operation over the bus in the data communication mode when step (c) stop the data communication mode (see column 10 lines 48-61).
- 12. As to claim 14, Yasui teaches the method set forth in claim 9, wherein said step (a) simultaneously transmits a transfer start signal and a command requesting start of format conversion of a received audio signal from a data receiving part to a data transferring part (see column 7 lines 1-12 and column 9 lines 53-67 and column 10 lines 1-20).
- 13. Claims 15 and 17-18 are of similar scope as claims 9-12 and 14 and are therefore rejected under same rationale.
- 14. Claims 19-26 are of similar scope as claims 9-14 and are therefore rejected under same rationale.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2182

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

16. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasui as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Caffarelli et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,091,686).

As to claim 2, Yasui fails to explicitly teach AT Attachment Packet Interface (ATAPI) protocol. Caffarelli; however, teaches AT Attachment Packet Interface (ATAPI) protocol (see figure 1 and column 5 lines 60-67 and column 6 lines 1-5). Given the teaching of Caffarelli, a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Yasui by employing the well know or conventional feature of the interface, such as taught by Cafferelli, in order to reduce delay in recording date onto the disk recording/reproducing device.

- 17. Claims 6, 13, and 16 are of similar scope as claim 2 and are therefore rejected under same rationale.
- 18. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasui as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Fujita et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,365,467).

As to claim 4, Yasui fails to explicitly teach: 8-bit parallel data and outputting 8-bit parallel data; and retrieving the stored 8-bit parallel data as 16-bit parallel data and simultaneously generating a transfer-ready signal when a predetermined amount of 8-bit parallel data has been stored in the memory. Fujita; however, teaches a serial-to-parallel converter converting the record-formatted serial data into 8-bit parallel data and outputting 8-bit parallel data with a data writing pulse; a memory controller sequentially storing the 8-bit parallel data in a memory whenever the data writing pulse is received, and retrieving the stored 8-bit parallel data as 16-bit parallel data and simultaneously generating a transfer-ready signal when a

Art Unit: 2182

predetermined amount of 8-bit parallel data has been stored in the memory; and a transmitter transmitting the 16-bit parallel data to the disk recording/reproducing device through the bus when the transfer-ready signal is received (see figure 4 and column 7 lines 13-68 and column 8 lines 1-19). Given the teaching of Fujita, a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Yasui by employing the well know or conventional feature of the interface, such as taught by Fujita, in order to provide faster record and reduce delay in recording data onto the disk recording/reproducing device.

19. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasui as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Scheffler (U.S. Pat. No. 6,263,154 B1).

As per claims 7 and 8, Yasui fails to explicitly teach: changed a binary level of the transfer start signal for the counter part to start data transfer, and restored the binary level of the transfer start signal when a record stop is requested. Scheffler; however, teaches said controller changes a binary level of the transfer start signal for the counter part to start data transfer, and said controller restores the binary level of the transfer start signal when a record stop is requested (see figure 11 and column 7 lines 65-67 and column 8 lines 1-8). Given the teaching of Scheffler, a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Yasui by employing the well know or conventional feature of the audio data recording apparatus, such as taught by Scheffler, in order to reduce delay in recording data onto the disk recording/reproducing device.

#### **Conclusion**

20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2182

U.S. Pat. No. 4,617,599 (Noguchi et al.)

U.S. Pat. No. 6,304,920 B1 (Kobayashi et al.)

U.S. Pat. No. 5,968,141 (Tsai)

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-5040 or email is mike.nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

The appropriate fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7240.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached on (703) 308-3301.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Mike Nguyen Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2182

04/04/2003

SPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER